

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Fwd: ICO Case [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **SecOInformation Governance** <freedom-information@bristol.ac.uk>

Date: Thu, 5 Feb 2026 at 09:13

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Thank you for your email.

For our own record keeping we have processed the additional questions you have asked under a new FOI reference number (FOI2526-321):

1. To confirm, all of the deaths reported in parts 3bi/3bii/3ci/3cii are also included in part 3a (n=46). For parts 3bi/3bii/3ci/3cii combined, there were 12 deaths (i.e., where gender dysphoria or gender-related distress was recorded, or gender dysphoria/gender-related distress was recorded as contributory to the child's suicide).
2. All of these deaths occurred between 1 April 2019 and 31 March 2025. We are unable to provide exact dates of death to ensure the confidentiality of these children and their families is maintained. Of the 46 deaths where the child identified as transgender, 5 occurred in 2019-20, 4 occurred in 2020-21, 22 occurred in 2021-22, 10 occurred in 2022-23, 3 occurred in 2023-24, and 2 occurred in 2024-25. However, please note that the numbers reported in more recent years will likely be underestimated, due to a higher proportion of child death reviews that have not yet been completed. This analysis is dependent on the Child Death Overview Panel having completed the review, which can take several years, therefore, for instance, fewer deaths that occurred in 2024-25 will have been reviewed in comparison to earlier years (e.g., 2019-20).
3. The NCMD began data collection for deaths occurring from 1 April 2019, so it is not possible to provide complete data from 2018.

If you are dissatisfied with the handling of your request, then you have a right under Section 50 of the Act to request an internal review.

All such requests must be sent to us within 40 days, and must clearly state our reference number (at the top of this email) and your reason for requesting an internal review.

We will aim to respond to your request for an internal review within 20 working days of receipt.

Your request for an internal review should be sent to freedom-information@bristol.ac.uk, quoting your FOI reference number. Alternatively, you could post it to:

Director of Governance and University Secretary
Office of the University Secretary
University of Bristol
Beacon House

[REDACTED]

Queens Road

Information Commissioners Office

Should you remain dissatisfied with the final outcome of the internal review then you may apply directly to the Information Commissioner (the "ICO") for an independent review. The ICO is the Government's Independent Body responsible for overseeing the Freedom of Information Act 2000, the Data Protection Act 2018 and The Environmental Information Regulations 2004.

Please note the ICO will only review cases that have exhausted the University's internal review procedure. All correspondence to the ICO must quote the University's reference number and your reasons for your appeal.

The ICO's contact details are as follows:

The Information Commissioners Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire
SK9 5AF

More information can be found at the ICO's website at <http://www.ico.org.uk>


Kind Regards

Freedom of Information Team
University of Bristol

To: SecOInformation Governance (freedom-information@bristol.ac.uk), icocasework@ico.org.uk
icocasework@ico.org.uk

Sent: 22/01/2026 18:39

Dear Bristol team, thank you very much for your useful email and the time it took to put that together.

 Thank you for your email which contained an accurate summary of the helpful call you made to me.

I have three further questions:

- 1) Can you give me the total number of young people for Parts 3a, 3bi, 3bii, 3ci and 3cii together, because I think you seemed to be saying the same young person could be counted in more than one category? Is it possible to cross-reference to avoid double counting?
- 2) For the numbers in part 3, can you give me information about when the deaths occurred?
- 3) Is it possible to go back one year further so we have the data included from 2018 too?

If you could answer any of these questions I'd be very grateful. However, my assumption is that the answers to these questions are a mixture of there's a risk of compromising confidentiality / the information is outside the scope of the original request or not easily

[REDACTED]
obtainable etc. I would have hoped it is at least possible to answer
[REDACTED]

In the event that you are unable to give me data for any of the three questions above, I will nevertheless accept the resolution that you've given me and thank you all for your help achieving the resolution.

Best regards

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]